arXiv:1207.6923v1 [math.OA] 30 Jul 2012

THE K-THEORY OF SOME REDUCED INVERSE SEMIGROUP C^*-ALGEBRAS.

MAGNUS DAHLER NORLING

Abstract. We use a recent result by Cuntz, Echterhoff and Li about the K-theory of certain reduced C^*-crossed products to describe the K-theory of $C_r^*(S)$ when S is an inverse semigroup satisfying certain requirements. A result of Milan and Steinberg allows us to show that $C_r^*(S)$ is Morita equivalent to a crossed product of the type handled by Cuntz, Echterhoff and Li. We apply the results to graph inverse semigroups and the inverse semigroups of one-dimensional tilings.

1. Introduction

A *semigroup* is a set P with an associative binary operation. It is a *monoid* if it has an identity element. An *inverse semigroup* is a semigroup S where for every $s \in S$ there is a unique element $s^* \in S$ satisfying

$$ss^*s = s \qquad s^*ss^* = s^*.$$

We will assume that every inverse semigroup we are working with has a zero element $0 = 0_S$. We will also assume that S is countable.

Associated to every inverse semigroup S there is a C^*-algebra $C^*(S)$ that is universal for all $*$-representations of S. Similarly there is a reduced C^*-algebra $C_r^*(S)$ which is the image of the left regular representation

$$\Lambda : C^*(S) \to B(\ell^2(S)).$$

See [20] for an introduction to C^*-algebras associated with inverse semigroups. If Λ is injective, S is said to have *weak containment*. We will use the convention that all $*$-representations of S are to send the 0-element of S to 0. As such, our $C^*(S)$ (and $C_r^*(S)$) differs from the one defined in [20] in that the former is the quotient of the latter by the one-dimensional ideal generated by 0_S. The convention we use coincides with the one used in [18].

Let G be a group and let G^0 be the inverse semigroup formed by adjoining a 0-element to G. A function $\phi : S \to G^0$ is a *grading* if for all $s, t \in S$,

$$\phi(st) = \phi(s)\phi(t) \text{ if } st \neq 0$$
$$\phi^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$$

The grading ϕ is *idempotent pure* if for every $s \in S$, $\phi(s) = 1$ implies that s is idempotent. S is called *strongly* 0-E-*unitary* if it admits an idempotent pure grading. For the rest of the paper we will restrict ourselves to the class of strongly 0-E-unitary inverse semigroups. Associated to every inverse semigroup S there is a group G called the *universal group* and a grading

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L05.

Key words and phrases. C^*-algebras, inverse semigroups, K-theory.

$\phi : S \to G^0$ called the *universal grading* [14]. The universal grading is idempotent pure if and only if S is strongly 0-E-unitary. From here on ϕ will always denote the universal grading of S, and G will always denote its universal group.

The main purpose of the paper is to provide a formula describing the K-theory of $C_r^*(S)$ for many S. The key ingredient will be a recent result from [4] concerning the K-theory of some crossed products $C_0(\Omega) \rtimes_r G$ where G is a group and Ω is a locally compact totally disconnected (i.e. zero-dimensional) Hausdorff space.

A subset $\mathcal{J}$ of the power set of some set Y is said to be *independent* [4, Definition 2.4] if for every finite family $X, X_1, \ldots, X_n \in \mathcal{J}$ satisfying $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n X_i$, then $X = X_i$ for at least one $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

Suppose one can find a family $\mathcal{V}$ of G-invariant compact open sets in Ω that generates all the compact open sets of Ω via finite intersections, unions and difference sets. Suppose also that $\mathcal{V}$ is independent. Let $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\varnothing\}$. It was shown in [4, Corollary 3.14] that if G satisfies the Baum-Connes conjecture with coefficients in $C_0(\mathcal{I})$ and $C_0(\Omega)$,

$$K_*(C_0(\Omega) \rtimes_r G) \simeq \bigoplus_{[i] \in G \setminus \mathcal{I}} K_*(C_r^*(G_i)). \tag{1.1}$$

Here $G \setminus \mathcal{I}$ is the set of G-orbits in $\mathcal{I}$, and G_i is the stabilizer group of $i \in \mathcal{I}$.

Let $E = E(S) = \{ss^* : s \in S\}$ be the set of idempotents in S. Then E is a commutative idempotent semigroup, i.e. a *semilattice* [20]. The *natural partial order* on S is given by $s \leq t$ if $s = te$ for some $e \in E$. This is equivalent to saying that $s = ft$ for some $f \in E$. If S is a monoid, S is said to be *strongly* 0-F*-inverse* if $\phi^{-1}(g)$ has a maximal element for every $g \in \phi(S)$. By the results of [1, 18], if S is strongly 0-F-inverse, $C_r^*(S)$ is (strongly) Morita equivalent to a crossed product $C_0(\Omega) \rtimes_r G$, where Ω is a certain locally compact Hausdorff space. We show that in this case, Ω posesses an independent G-invariant generating family of compact open sets. This allows us to compute $K_*(C_0(\Omega) \rtimes_r G) \simeq K_*(C_r^*(S))$ in the case where G satisfies the Baum-Connes conjecture with coefficients as described above. The main result is given in Theorem 3.5.

Many important inverse semigroups are strongly 0-F inverse, such as the left inverse hulls associated to semigroups satisfying the Toeplitz condition of [15] as well as graph inverse semigroups [21] and some tiling and point set inverse semigroups [13]. We calculate the K-theory for some of these examples. In general, the reduced C^*-algebra of S is not the only one of interest, but also its tight C^*-algebra as defined in [6]. For instance, the graph C^*-algebras [22] and some of the tiling C^*-algebras [9] are the tight C^*-algebras of their respective inverse semigroups. When the inverse semigroup has weak containment (which it has in the stated examples), the tight C^*-algebra is a quotient of the reduced C^*-algebra. We hope that this paper may be useful in the future when trying to describe the K-theory of these tight C^*-algebras.

2. Preliminaries on the construction of the partial action and the Morita enveloping action

A *semicharacter* on E is a homomorphism $\psi : E \to \{0,1\}$ that satisfies $\psi(0) = 0$ and $\psi(e) = 1$ for at least one $e \in E$. Let $\widehat{E} \subset \{0,1\}^E$ be the set of all semicharacters on E with the subset topology of the product topology on $\{0,1\}^E$. $\widehat{E}$ is said to be the *spectrum* of E. It is well known that there are isomorphisms $C^*(E) \simeq C^*_r(E) \simeq C_0(\widehat{E})$. For any $e \in E$, let

$$D_e = \{\psi \in \widehat{E} : \psi(e) = 1\}$$

The isomorphism $C^*(E) \simeq C_0(\widehat{E})$ is then implemented by sending $e \in E \subset \mathbb{C}E \subset C^*(E)$ to χ_{D_e}, the characteristic function of D_e [20]. It follows for instance from [4, Lemma 2.3] that $\widehat{E}$ is totally disconnected. Let $\mathcal{U}_c(\widehat{E})$ be the set of all open compact subsets of $\widehat{E}$. Let

$$\mathcal{P} = \{D_e : e \in E\}$$

It follows from the same lemma that $\mathcal{P}$ is a *generating set* for $\mathcal{U}_c(\widehat{E})$ in the sense that $\mathcal{U}_c(\widehat{E})$ is the smallest family of compact open subsets of $\widehat{E}$ that is closed under intersections, finite unions and difference sets, and contains $\mathcal{P}$.

We will recall the construction of the partial action of G on the spectrum $\widehat{E}$ defined in [18]. Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space and let I_X be the inverse semigroup of all partial homeomorphisms on X. A partial homeomorphism is a homeomorphism $f : d(f) \to r(f)$ where $d(f), r(f) \subset X$ are open subsets. The product in I_X is given by function composition, with $d(fg) = g^{-1}(d(f))$. The $*$-operation is given by function inversion.

It is easy to see that the natural partial order on I_X is given by $f \leq g$ if and only if f is a restriction of g to $d(f) \subset d(g)$. A *partial action* by a discrete group G on X is a map $\theta : G \to I_X$ such that for all $g, h \in G$,

$$\theta(gh) \leq \theta(g)\theta(h).$$

and $\theta(1)$ is the identity function on all of X. We will write $\theta_g = \theta(g)$. One can then show that $\theta_{g^{-1}} = \theta_g^*$ for each $g \in G$. Crossed products by partial actions were first introduced in [5].

Definition 2.1 Define $\theta : G \to I_{\widehat{E}}$ by

$$d(\theta_g) = \bigcup_{s \in \phi^{-1}(g)} D_{s^*s}$$

$$\theta_g(\psi)(e) = \psi(s^*es) \text{ for } e \in E, s \in \phi^{-1}(g), \psi \in D_{s^*s}$$

One can show that the second expression is well-defined, i.e. independent on the choice of s. One can also show that θ is a partial action. By [18, Theorem 5.2] there is an isomorphism $C^*_r(S) \simeq C_0(\widehat{E}) \rtimes_{\theta,r} G$.

Remark 2.2 Note that the construction of the partial action as stated in Definition 2.1 is in [18] only done explicitly for so-called E-unitary inverse semigroups. In order to construct a partial action on $\widehat{E(S)}$, they then use the fact that every strongly 0-E-unitary inverse semigroup S is the Rees quotient of an E-unitary semigroup T by an ideal I. Here T is the inverse subsemigroup of $S \times G$ generated by $\{(s, \phi(s)) : s \in S \setminus \{0\}\}$, and $I = T \cap (0 \times G)$. Then T has the universal grading $\sigma : T \to G$ given by $\sigma((s,g)) = g$. Let $I^\perp = \{\psi \in \widehat{E(T)} : \psi(I \cap E(T)) = \{0\}\}$. Then there is a homeomorphism $h : I^\perp \to \widehat{E(S)}$ given by $h(\psi)(e) = \psi((e,1))$. Let θ^T be the partial action of G on $\widehat{E(T)}$ as given in Definition 2.1. The partial action θ of G on $\widehat{E(S)}$ is then given by $d(\theta_g) = h(d(\theta_g^T) \cap I^\perp)$ and $\theta_g(h(\psi)) = \theta_g^T(\psi)$. It is straightforward to check that θ is the same partial action of G on $\widehat{E(S)}$ as given in Definition 2.1.

We now recall from [1] the construction of the Morita enveloping action (Ω, G, τ) of a partial action (X, G, θ). Define an equivalence relation $\sim$ on $G \times X$ by $(g,x) \sim (h,y)$ if $x \in d(\theta_{h^{-1}g})$ and $\theta_{h^{-1}g}(x) = y$. Define $\Omega = \Omega_X = G \times X/\sim$ with the quotient topology, and let $[g,x]$ denote the equivalence class of $(g,x) \in G \times X$. Let G act on Ω by

$$\tau_g([h,x]) = [gh,x].$$

By [1, Theorem 1.1], the map $\iota : x \mapsto [1,x]$ defines a homeomorphism $X \to \iota(X)$, with $\iota(X)$ open in Ω. Moreover, for all $g \in G$ and $x \in d(\theta_g)$,

$$\iota(\theta_g(x)) = \tau_g(\iota(x)) \tag{2.1}$$

It also follows that the orbit of $\iota(X)$ in Ω is all of Ω. We will from here on omit writing ι, and view X as a subset of Ω. If Ω is Hausdorff, then $C_0(X) \rtimes_{\theta,r} G$ is (strongly) Morita equivalent to $C_0(\Omega) \rtimes_{\tau,r} G$ [1, Thm. 3.3].

Lemma 2.3 Let $\theta : G \to I_X$ be a partial action of G on X and let (Ω, τ) be the Morita enveloping action. Then for each $g \in G$ and $Y \subset X$,

$$\theta_g(Y \cap d(\theta_g)) = \tau_g(Y) \cap X$$

In particular, if $\theta_g(Y) \subset X$, then $Y \subset d(\theta_g)$.

Proof. Let $y \in Y$ and suppose $\tau_g(y) = [g,y] \in X$. By definition, $(g,y) \sim (1,x)$ for some $x \in X$. So $y \in d(\theta_g)$ and $\theta_g(y) = x$. This shows that $\tau_g(Y) \cap X \subset \theta_g(Y \cap d(\theta_g))$. The opposite inclusion follows from equation (2.1). □

3. K-THEORY FOR $C_r^*(S)$

Let us now concentrate on the case $X = \widehat{E}$, with the partial action $\theta : G \to I_{\widehat{E}}$ defined earlier.

Lemma 3.1 Let $e \in E$. For any $s \in S$ satisfying $e \leq s^*s$, we have $D_e \subset d(\theta_{\phi(s)})$, and $\theta_{\phi(s)}(D_e) = D_{ses^*}$

Proof. Since $e \leq s^*s$ we have $D_e \subset D_{s^*s} \subset d(\theta_{\phi(s)})$ by the definition of $d(\theta_{\phi(s)})$. For any $\psi \in D_e$, we have

$$\theta_{\phi(s)}(\psi)(ses^*) = \psi(s^*ses^*s) = \psi(s^*s)\psi(e)\psi(s^*s) = 1.$$

So $\theta_{\phi(s)}(D_e) \subset D_{ses^*}$. For the converse inclusion, let $\eta \in D_{ses^*}$. We have $ses^* \leq s(s^*s)s^* = (ss^*)(ss^*) = ss^*$, so using that $(s^*)^* = s$ and $\phi(s^*) =$

$\phi(s)^{-1}$ we get that $D_{ses^*} \subset d(\theta_{\phi(s)}^{-1})$. Then $\theta_{\phi(s)}^{-1}(\eta)(e) = \eta(ses^*) = 1$, so $\theta_{\phi(s)}^{-1}(\eta) \in D_e$. Since $\theta_{\phi(s)}(\theta_{\phi(s)}^{-1}(\eta)) = \eta$, this completes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 3.2 For every $g \in G$ and $e \in E$ with $D_e \subset d(\theta_g)$ there is an $f \in E$ such that $\theta_g(D_e) = D_f$. In particular, there is an $s \in \phi^{-1}(g)$ such that $e \leq s^*s$ and $\theta_g(D_e) = D_{ses^*}$.

Proof. Since $D_0 = \varnothing$, we can assume that $e \neq 0$. Let $\psi_e : E \to \{0, 1\}$ be defined by

$$\psi_e(f) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } f \geq e \\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

It is easy to check that ψ_e is a semicharacter and that $\psi_e \in D_e$. We assumed that $D_e \subset d(\theta_g)$, so by the definition of $d(\theta_g)$ there is some $s \in \phi^{-1}(g)$ such that $\psi_e \in D_{s^*s}$. This implies that $\psi_e(s^*s) = 1$, so $e \leq s^*s$. The rest follows from Lemma 3.1. $\square$

In [13], S was defined to be *strongly F^*-inverse* if every element is beneath a unique maximal element. In [18], S was defined to be *strongly 0-F-inverse* if for all $g \in G$, and $e, f \in E$, $eSf \cap \phi^{-1}(g)$ has a maximal element whenever it is nonempty. Note that $eSf \cap \phi^{-1}(g) = e\phi^{-1}(g)f$. When S is a monoid, it is therefore strongly 0-F-inverse in the sense of [18] if and only if $\phi^{-1}(g)$ has a maximal element for any $g \in \phi(S)$. If S is a strongly 0-F-inverse monoid in the sense of [18], then it is strongly F^*-inverse in the sense of [13]. (This is because if $s, t \in S$ satisfy $s \geq t$, then $t = se$ for some $e \in E$, so $\phi(s) = \phi(se) = \phi(t)$). It follows that the converse implication holds if and only if ϕ is injective on the set of maximal elements in S. The definition in [18] seems to be more suited to our purposes, so we will adopt it from here on.

We would like to note that [13] contains useful methods for finding the universal group of an strongly F^*-inverse semigroup. Once the universal group is found, it is then possible to check if the inverse semigroup in question is strongly 0-F-inverse in the sense we use here.

Let S^1 be the monoid $S \cup \{1\}$ if S is not already a monoid, and let $S^1 = S$ otherwise. The universal grading ρ of S^1 is just the extension of the universal grading on S obtained by defining $\rho(1) = 1$. Note that $\rho^{-1}(g) = \phi^{-1}(g)$ for all $g \in G \setminus \{1\}$. If S^1 is strongly 0-F-inverse then so is S, but we don't know if the converse implication holds.

Lemma 3.3 The following statements are equivalent.

(i) S^1 is strongly 0-F-inverse.
(ii) for every $g \in \phi(S) \setminus \{1\}$ there exists an $s \in \phi^{-1}(g)$ such that for any $t \in \phi^{-1}(g)$, $t^*t \leq s^*s$.
(iii) For every $g \in \phi(S) \setminus \{1\}$, we have that $d(\theta_g) \in \mathcal{P}$. In particular, there exists an $s \in \phi^{-1}(g)$ such that $d(\theta_g) = D_{s^*s}$.

Proof. Fix $g \in \phi(S) \setminus \{1\}$.

(i)⇒(ii): Let s be the maximal element of $\phi^{-1}(g)$ and let $t \in \phi^{-1}(g)$. Then there is some $e \in E$ such that $se = t$. Then $t^*t = e^*s^*se = s^*se$. So $t^*t \leq s^*s$.

(ii)⇒(i): Suppose s satisfies the given requirements, and let $t \in \phi^{-1}(g)$. Then there is some $e \in E$ such that $es^*s = s^*se = t^*t$. Then $t = tes^*s$, so $tes^* \neq 0$. This implies that $\phi(tes^*) = \phi(t)\phi(e)\phi(s^*) = g1g^{-1} = 1$. So tes^* is idempotent. Since $t = (tes^*)s$, this implies that $t \leq s$. So s is the maximal element of $\phi^{-1}(g)$.

(ii)⇒(iii): Suppose $s \in S$ satisfies the stated requirements. Then $D_{t^*t} \subset D_{s^*s}$ for any $t \in \phi^{-1}(g)$, so

$$d(\theta_g) = \bigcup_{t\in\phi^{-1}(g)} D_{t^*t} = D_{s^*s}.$$

(iii)⇒(ii): Suppose $d(\theta_g) = D_e$. Then by Lemma 3.2 there exists an $s \in S$ such that $\phi(s) = g$ and $e \leq s^*s$. This implies that

$$D_{s^*s} \subset d(\theta_g) = D_e \subset D_{s^*s}.$$

So $d(\theta_g) = D_e = D_{s^*s}$. It follows that $t^*t \leq s^*s$ for all $t \in \phi^{-1}(g)$. □

As before, let $\mathcal{P} = \{D_e : e \in E\}$. Recall that for any $e, f \in E$, $D_e \cap D_f = D_{ef}$, so $\mathcal{P}$ is closed under finite intersections [20]. Let

$$\mathcal{V} = \{\tau_g(D_e) : e \in E, g \in G\},$$

and let $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\varnothing\}$.

Proposition 3.4 Suppose S^1 is strongly 0-F-inverse. Then Ω is totally disconnected and Hausdorff. The family $\mathcal{V}$ is closed under finite intersections, and is a generating set for $\mathcal{U}_c(\Omega_{\widehat{E}})$. Moreover, $\mathcal{V}$ is independent.

Proof. By [18, Corollary 6.17], Ω is Hausdorff since S is strongly 0-F-inverse. Note that this corollary does not explicitly state that the space in question is the one associated with the Morita enveloping action of the partial action, but this can be realized by reading the developments leading up to the corollary.

To show that Ω is totally disconnected, let $x, y \in \Omega$ and $x \neq y$. We want to show that x has a closed open neighborhood that does not contain y. By applying τ we can assume without loss of generality that $x \in \widehat{E}$. If $y \notin \widehat{E}$, then we are done since every $x \in \widehat{E}$ is contained in some compact open subset of $\widehat{E}$. If $y \in \widehat{E}$, the result follows since $\widehat{E}$ is totally disconnected.

We now show that $\mathcal{V}$ is closed under finite intersections. It is sufficient to show that for $g, h \in G$ and $e, f \in F$, $\tau_g(D_e) \cap \tau_h(D_f)$ can be written in the form $\tau_g(D_p)$ for some $p \in E$. Since τ_g is injective, we have

$$\tau_g(D_e) \cap \tau_h(D_f) = \tau_g(D_e \cap \tau_{g^{-1}h}(D_f)).$$

By Lemma 2.3 we have

$$D_e \cap \tau_{g^{-1}h}(D_f) = D_e \cap \widehat{E} \cap \tau_{g^{-1}h}(D_f) = D_e \cap \theta_{g^{-1}h}(D_f \cap d(\theta_{g^{-1}h}))$$

By Lemma 3.3 either $d(\theta_{g^{-1}h}) \in \mathcal{P}$, or $g^{-1}h = 1$ in which case $d(\theta_{g^{-1}h}) = \widehat{E}$. So $D_f \cap d(\theta_{g^{-1}h}) \in \mathcal{P}$. By Lemma 3.2 it follows that there is some $q \in E$ such that $\theta_{g^{-1}h}(D_f \cap d(\theta_{g^{-1}h})) = D_q$. Then

$$\tau_g(D_e) \cap \tau_h(D_f) = \tau_g(D_{eq}).$$

Next, we show that $\mathcal{V}$ is a generating set for $\mathcal{U}_c(\Omega)$. Let $U \subset \Omega$ be compact open. Let $V = \pi^{-1}(U)$ where $\pi : G \times \widehat{E} \to \Omega$ is the quotient map. Since G

is discrete, we can write $V = \bigcup_{g\in G}\{g\} \times V_g$, where each $V_g \subset \widehat{E}$ is closed and open. Then

$$U = \pi(V) = \bigcup_{g\in G}\{[g,x] : x \in V_g\} = \bigcup_{g\in G}\tau_g(V_g)$$

Since U is compact, there is a finite subset $F \subset G$ such that

$$U = \bigcup_{g\in F}\tau_g(V_g)$$

Since $\tau_g(V_g)$ is a closed subset of the compact set U it follows that $\tau_g(V_g)$ itself is compact, and so is V_g since τ_g is a homeomorphism. We know from before that $\mathcal{P}$ is a generating set for $\mathcal{U}_c(\widehat{E})$, thus it is now immediate that $\mathcal{V}$ is a generating set for $\mathcal{U}_c(\Omega)$.

Finally, we show that $\mathcal{V}$ is independent. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and suppose $g_1, \ldots, g_n, g \in G$ and $e_1, \ldots, e_n, e \in E$ satisfy

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}\tau_{g_i}(D_{e_i}) = \tau_g(D_e).$$

Then

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}\tau_{g^{-1}g_i}(D_{e_i}) = D_e.$$

Assume without loss of generality that $e \neq 0$. For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\tau_{g^{-1}g_i}(D_{e_i}) \subset \widehat{E}$. It follows from Lemmas 2.3 and 3.2 that there exist $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in E$ such that $\tau_{g^{-1}g_i}(D_{e_i}) = D_{f_i}$. Since $D_{f_i} \subset D_e$ for each i, $f_i \leq e$. Let ψ_e be the semicharacter defined in equation (3.1). Then since $\psi_e \in D_e$ it follows that $\psi_e \in D_{f_j}$ for at least one $1 \leq j \leq n$. So $\psi_e(f_j) = 1$, i.e. $e \leq f_j$. This implies that $e = f_j$. So $\tau_{g^{-1}g_j}(D_{e_j}) = D_e$ and $\tau_{g_j}(D_{e_j}) = \tau_g(D_e)$. □

By [4, Definition 2.9], $\mathcal{V}$ is a *regular basis* for Ω. Note also that $\mathcal{V}$ is G-invariant by construction.

Write $E^\times = E \setminus \{0\}$. Define a relation $\approx$ on $E^\times$ by $e \approx f$ if there exists an $s \in S$ with $e \leq s^*s$ and $ses^* = f$. Note that by Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, $e \approx f$ if and only if D_e and D_f have the same G-orbit. In particular, $\approx$ is an equivalence relation. For each $e \in E^\times$, let

$$G_e = \{\phi(s) : s \in S,\, e \leq s^*s,\, ses^* = e\}$$

It follows from the same lemmas that G_e is the stabilizer group of $D_e \in \mathcal{V}$, i.e. $G_e = \{g \in G : \tau_g(D_e) = D_e\}$. As an exercise one can show that if S is strongly 0-F-inverse and S_{max} is the set of maximal elements in S, then

$$G_e = \{\phi(s) : s \in S_{max},\, e \leq s^*s,\, ses^* = e\}$$

Theorem 3.5 Suppose S^1 is strongly 0-F-inverse. Suppose also that G satisfies the Baum-Connes conjecture with coefficients in $C_0(\Omega)$ and $C_0(\mathcal{I})$. Then

$$K_*(C_r^*(S)) \simeq \bigoplus_{[e]\in E^\times/\approx} K_*(C_r^*(G_e)).$$

Proof. By [18, Corollary 6.17] (or [1, Thm. 3.3]), $C_r^*(S)$ is Morita equivalent to $C_0(\Omega) \rtimes_{\tau,r} G$, so they have isomorphic K-groups. To compute the K-groups of the latter C^*-algebra we use the formula in equation (1.1) (which is provided by [4, Corollary 3.14]). We can use this formula since Proposition 3.4 tells us that Ω is totally disconnected and that $\mathcal{V}$ is an invariant independent generating set for $\mathcal{U}_c(\Omega)$. Let $i \in \mathcal{I}$. Then $i = \tau_g(D_e)$ for some $e \in E^\times$. It follows that the orbit class of i is the orbit class of D_e. So the orbit space $G \setminus \mathcal{I}$ can be indexed by $E^\times / \approx$. We already pointed out that G_e is the stabilizer group of D_e. □

As noted in [4], it is shown in [8] that whenever a group G is a-T-menable (i.e. it satisfies the Haagerup property), it satisfies the strong Baum-Connes conjecture with arbitrary (separable) coefficients. For instance all amenable groups and all free groups are a-T-menable.

4. Connections to subsemigroups of groups

We will now explain how the “strongly 0-F-inverse“ condition for inverse semigroups is related to the Toeplitz condition for subsemigroups of groups as defined in [15, 4]. Let P be a monoid that embeds into a (discrete) group. For each $p \in P$, let $\lambda_p : P \to pP$ be the bijection given by $\lambda_p(q) = pq$. Then $\lambda_p \in I_P$. Let I_P^λ be the inverse subsemigroup of I_P generated by $\{\lambda_p : p \in P\} \cup \{0\}$. Since P is a monoid, I_P^λ is also a monoid. I_P^λ is called the *left inverse hull* of P [16]. Each idempotent $e \in E(I_P^\lambda)$ is the identity function on some subset $X \subset P$. Let $\mathcal{J} = \{d(e) : e \in E(I_P^\lambda)\}$. Then it is easy to show that $E(I_P^\lambda)$ and $(\mathcal{J}, \cap)$ are isomorphic as semilattices.

Let $\{\varepsilon_p : p \in P\}$ be the canonical basis for $\ell^2(P)$. For each $p \in P$, let $V_p \in B(\ell^2(P))$ be given by $V_p\varepsilon_q = \varepsilon_{pq}$. $C_r^*(P)$ is defined to be the C^*-algebra generated by $\{V_p : p \in P\}$. It was shown in [19] that if $\mathcal{J}$ is independent, there is an isomorphism $C_r^*(I_P^\lambda) \to C_r^*(P)$ given by $\Lambda(\lambda_p) \mapsto V_p$.

As shown in [19, Proposition 3.2.11], if P embeds into a group H then one can define an idempotent pure grading $\phi : I_P^\lambda \to H^0$ by

$$\phi(\lambda_{q_1}^*\lambda_{p_1} \cdots \lambda_{q_n}^*\lambda_{p_n}) = \begin{cases} q_1^{-1}p_1 \cdots q_n^{-1}p_n \text{ if } \lambda_{q_1}^*\lambda_{p_1} \cdots \lambda_{q_n}^*\lambda_{p_n} \neq 0 \\ 0 \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, any idempotent pure grading $\phi : I_P^\lambda \to H^0$ gives rise to an embedding $P \to H$ given by $p \mapsto \phi(\lambda_p)$. For each monoid P there exists a group G' called the free P-group. The free P-group has the property that there exists a homomorphism $\nu : P \to G'$ whose image generates G', and such that any homomorphism of P to a group H factors through ν (see [3, Chapter 12]). It follows that if P embeds into any group, it embeds into G'. Since the universal grading $\phi : I_P^\lambda \to G$ has the property that for any grading $\phi' : I_P^\lambda \to H$ there exists a homomorphism $h : G \to H$ such that $\phi' = h\phi$ [14, Theorem 2.3], it follows that the free P-group G' and the universal group G of I_P^λ are isomorphic and that the universal grading ϕ arises from the embedding of P into G'. Note that for any $f \in I_P^\lambda$ and $p \in d(f)$,

$$f(p) = \phi(f)p \tag{4.1}$$

Let $\{\varepsilon_g : g \in G\}$ be the canonical basis for $\ell^2(G)$. Let $U_g \in B(\ell^2(G))$ be given by $U_g\varepsilon_h = \varepsilon_{gh}$. Let E_P be the orthogonal projection of $\ell^2(G)$ onto the subspace $\ell^2(P)$. By [15, Definition 4.1], $P \subset G$ satisfies the *Toeplitz condition* if for any $g \in G$ with $E_PU_gE_P \neq 0$ there exist $p_1, \dots, p_n, q_1, \dots, q_n \in P$ such that $E_PU_gE_P = V^*_{q_1}V_{p_1} \cdots V^*_{q_n}V_{p_n}$.

Proposition 4.1 Let P be a group-embeddable monoid and let G be the free P-group. Then $P \subset G$ satisfies the Toeplitz condition if and only if I^λ_P is strongly 0-F-inverse.

Proof. For $g \in G$, let $\beta_g : (g^{-1})P \cap P \to gP \cap P$ be given by $\beta_g(p) = gp$. Then $\beta_g \in I_P$. We claim that $P \subset G$ satisfies the Toeplitz condition if and only if $\beta_g \in I^\lambda_P$ for each $g \in G$. To verify this, let $\omega : I_P \to B(\ell^2(P))$ be given by

$$\omega(f)\varepsilon_p = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_{f(p)} \text{ if } p \in d(f) \\ 0 \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that ω is injective and that $\omega(\lambda_p) = V_p$ for each $p \in P$. Moreover, for any $g \in G$, it is easy to verify that $\omega(\beta_g) = E_PU_gE_P$.

Fix $g \in G$ and assume without loss of generality that $\beta_g \neq 0$. Suppose $\beta_g \in I^\lambda_P$. Clearly $\phi(\beta_g) = g$. Suppose $f \in I^\lambda_P$ satisfies $\phi(f) = g$. By equation (4.1) it follows that $f \leq \beta_g$. This implies that β_g is the maximal element of $\phi^{-1}(g)$, so I^λ_P is strongly 0-F-inverse.

Conversely, suppose I^λ_P is strongly 0-F-inverse. Let $f \in \phi^{-1}(g)$ be the maximal element. If we can show that $d(f) = d(\beta_g) = (g^{-1})P \cap P$, then equation (4.1) gives us that $f = \beta_g$. We already noted that equation (4.1) implies that $d(f) \subset d(\beta_g)$, so let $p \in (g^{-1})P \cap P$. Then there is some $q \in P$ such that $p = g^{-1}q$, so $g = qp^{-1}$. Then $\phi(\lambda_q\lambda^*_p) = g$ and $p \in pP = d(\lambda_q\lambda^*_p)$. Since f is maximal in $\phi^{-1}(g)$, $d(\lambda_q\lambda^*_p) \subset d(f)$, so we are done. □

The computation of the K-theory for $C^*_r(P)$ in the case when $\mathcal{J}$ is independent and $P \subset G$ satisfies the Toeplitz condition is done in details in [4], so we won't repeat it here.

5. Graph inverse semigroups

We will use [22] as our main source on graphs and graph C^*-algebras. A (discrete) *directed graph* $\mathcal{E} = (\mathcal{E}^0, \mathcal{E}^1, s, r)$ consists of countable sets $\mathcal{E}^0$, $\mathcal{E}^1$ and functions $s, r : \mathcal{E}^1 \to \mathcal{E}^0$. The elements of $\mathcal{E}^0$ are called the *vertices* of $\mathcal{E}$, while the elements of $\mathcal{E}^1$ are called the *edges* of $\mathcal{E}$. A *finite path* in $\mathcal{E}$ is either a single vertex or a finite string $\mu_1 \dots \mu_n$ of edges such that $r(\mu_k) = s(\mu_{k+1})$ for any $0 \leq k \leq n-1$. Let $\mathcal{E}^*$ be the set of all finite paths in $\mathcal{E}$. For a path $\mu = \mu_n \cdots \mu_1 \in \mathcal{E}^*$, define $s(\mu) = s(\mu_1)$ and $r(\mu) = r(\mu_n)$. If μ is a single vertex, then define $s(\mu) = r(\mu) = \mu$. One can define a partial product on $\mathcal{E}^*$ as follows. If μ and ν are strings of edges, then their product $\mu\nu$ is given by concatenation if the resulting string is a path, otherwise the product is undefined. If μ is a string of edges and v is a vertex, then $\mu v = \mu$ if $r(\mu) = v$ and $v\mu = \mu$ if $s(\mu) = v$. If v, w are vertices, then $vw = v$ if $v = w$.

In [21], the *graph inverse semigroup* $S_{\mathcal{E}}$ is defined to be the set

$$S_{\mathcal{E}} = \{(\mu, \nu) \in E^* \times E^* : s(\mu) = s(\nu)\} \cup \{0\}$$

with all products not involving 0 given by

$$(\mu,\nu)(\alpha,\beta)=\begin{cases}(\mu,\beta\nu') \text{ if } \nu=\alpha\nu'\\(\mu\alpha',\beta) \text{ if } \alpha=\nu\alpha'\\0 \text{ otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

The $*$-operation is given by $(\mu,\nu)^*=(\nu,\mu)$. For $(\mu,\nu)\in S_{\mathcal{E}}$, we have

$$(\mu,\nu)^*(\mu,\nu)=(\nu,\mu)(\mu,\nu)=(\nu,\nu)$$

This shows that $E(S_{\mathcal{E}})^\times=\{(\nu,\nu):\nu\in\mathcal{E}^*\}$. For any $\nu,\beta\in\mathcal{E}^*$, we have $(\beta,\beta)\le(\nu,\nu)$ if and only if $(\nu,\nu)(\beta,\beta)=(\beta,\beta)$ if and only if $\beta=\nu\rho$ for some $\rho\in\mathcal{E}^*$. Note that $S_{\mathcal{E}}$ is generated (as an inverse semigroup) by elements on the form (μ,v), where v is a vertex and μ is a path with $s(\mu)=v$.

It has been shown that the graph C^*-algebra $C^*(\mathcal{E})$ is a quotient of $C^*(S_{\mathcal{E}})$ [21]. In particular, it is the tight quotient [6]. Moreover, it was shown in [17] that $S_{\mathcal{E}}$ has weak containment, i.e. $\Lambda:C^*(S_{\mathcal{E}})\to C^*_r(S_{\mathcal{E}})$ is an isomorphism. One can also show that $C^*_r(S_{\mathcal{E}})$ is isomorphic to the Toeplitz algebra of $\mathcal{E}$ as for instance defined in [22, Chapter 8] (use the universal properties of each of the algebras).

Let $\mathbb{F}$ be the free group on the alphabet $\mathcal{E}^1$. Define a function $h:\mathcal{E}^*\to\mathbb{F}$ by.

$$h(\mu)=\begin{cases}1 \text{ if } \mu \text{ is a single vertex,}\\ \mu \text{ otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

The universal grading $\phi:S_{\mathcal{E}}\to\mathbb{F}$ is given by by $\phi(\mu,\nu)=h(\mu)h(\nu)^{-1}$. This can be shown as in [14].

Lemma 5.1 $S^1_{\mathcal{E}}$ is strongly 0-F-inverse.

Proof. We check that point (ii) of Lemma 3.3 is satisfied for any $g\in\phi(S_{\mathcal{E}})\setminus\{1\}$. We have that $g=h(\mu)h(\nu)^{-1}$ for some $(\mu,\nu)\in S_{\mathcal{E}}$. We can assume that this is on a reduced form, i.e. that there are no $\rho\in\mathcal{E}^*\setminus\mathcal{E}^0$ and $\mu',\nu'\in\mathcal{E}^*$ such that $\mu=\mu'\rho$ and $\nu=\nu'\rho$. Let $(\alpha,\beta)\in\phi^{-1}(g)$. Then $h(\alpha)h(\beta)^{-1}=h(\mu)h(\nu)^{-1}$. Since $g\neq 1$ we can't have that both μ and ν are in $\mathcal{E}^0$. It follows that there exists a $\rho\in\mathcal{E}^*$ such that $\alpha=\mu\rho$, $\beta=\nu\rho$. So

$$(\alpha,\beta)^*(\alpha,\beta)=(\beta,\beta)\le(\nu,\nu)=(\mu,\nu)^*(\mu,\nu).$$

□

The next lemma lets us identify $E(S_{\mathcal{E}})^\times/\approx$ with $\mathcal{E}^0$.

Lemma 5.2 Let $\mu,\nu\in\mathcal{E}^*$. Then $(\mu,\mu)\approx(\nu,\nu)$ if and only if $s(\mu)=s(\nu)$.

Proof. Fix $\mu,\nu\in\mathcal{E}^*$. Suppose $s(\mu)=s(\nu)$. Then $(\nu,\nu)\le(\mu,\nu)^*(\mu,\nu)=(\nu,\nu)$. Moreover, it is straightforward to calculate that

$$(\mu,\nu)(\nu,\nu)(\mu,\nu)^*=(\mu,\mu)$$

So $(\nu,\nu)\approx(\mu,\mu)$.

Conversely, suppose $(\nu,\nu)\approx(\mu,\mu)$. Then there exists $(\alpha,\beta)\in S_{\mathcal{E}}$ such that $(\nu,\nu)\le(\alpha,\beta)^*(\alpha,\beta)=(\beta,\beta)$. and $(\alpha,\beta)(\nu,\nu)(\alpha,\beta)^*=(\mu,\mu)$. It follows that $\nu=\beta\rho$ for some $\rho\in\mathcal{E}^*$. Moreover,

$$(\alpha,\beta)(\nu,\nu)(\beta,\alpha)=(\alpha\rho,\nu)(\beta,\alpha)=(\alpha\rho,\alpha\rho).$$

So $\alpha\rho = \mu$. Then $s(\mu) = s(\alpha\rho) = s(\rho) = s(\beta\rho) = s(\nu)$. ☐

Lemma 5.3 For each $\mu \in \mathcal{E}^*$, $G_{(\mu,\mu)}$ is the trivial group.

Proof. Suppose $(\alpha, \beta) \in S_{\mathcal{E}}$ satisfy $(\mu, \mu) \leq (\beta, \alpha)(\alpha, \beta)$ and $(\alpha, \beta)(\mu, \mu)(\beta, \alpha) = (\mu, \mu)$. As in the proof of the previous lemma, we get that there is some $\rho \in \mathcal{E}^*$ such that $\mu = \alpha\rho = \beta\rho$. Then $\alpha = \beta$, so $\phi((\alpha, \beta)) = 1$. ☐

The next Theorem also follows from [2, Theorem 1.1].

Theorem 5.4 We have $K_0(C_r^*(S_{\mathcal{E}})) = \mathbb{Z}^{\mathcal{E}^0}$ and $K_1(C_r^*(S_{\mathcal{E}})) = 0$.

Proof. By Lemma 5.3, $G_e = \{1\}$ for each $e \in E(S_{\mathcal{E}})$. Then $K_0(C_r^*(G_e)) = K_0(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{Z}$ and $K_1(C_r^*(\{1\})) = K_1(\mathbb{C}) = 0$. Since $\mathbb{F}$ is a-T-menable, it satisfies the strong Baum-Connes conjecture with arbitrary coefficients [8]. The result now follows from Lemma 5.2 and Theorem 3.5. ☐

6. Tiling inverse semigroups

The tiling inverse semigroups were first introduced in [11, 12]. A *tile* in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is a subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ homeomorphic to the closed unit ball. A *partial tiling* is a collection of tiles that have pairwise disjoint interiors. The *support* of a partial tiling is the union of its elements. A *tiling* is a partial tiling with support equal to $\mathbb{R}^n$. A *patch* is a finite partial tiling.

For any tile t and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $t + x$ be the translation of t by x. For any partial tiling P, let $P + x = \{t + x : t \in P\}$. Let T be a tiling. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the set of subpatches P of T such that P has connected support. For $P, Q \in \mathcal{M}$ and $t_1, t_2 \in P$, $r_1, r_2 \in Q$, we say that $(t_1, P, t_2) \sim (r_1, Q, r_2)$ if there is some $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $t_1 + x = r_1, t_2 + x = r_2$ and $P + x = Q$. The equivalence class of (t_1, P, t_2) is denoted $[t_1, P, t_2]$, and is called a *doubly pointed pattern class.* Let

$$S_T = \{[t_1, P, t_2] : P \in \mathcal{M}, t_1, t_2 \in P\} \cup \{0\}$$

We define an inverse semigroup structure on S_T. Let $[t_1, P, t_2], [r_1, Q, r_2] \in S_T$. Whenever there are $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $P + x$ and $Q + y$ are patches in T and $t_2 + x = r_1 + y$, define

$$[t_1, P, t_2][r_1, Q, r_2] = [t_1 + x, (P + x) \cup (Q + y), r_2 + y].$$

All other products are defined to be 0. The $*$-operation is given by

$$[t_1, P, t_2]^* = [t_2, P, t_1]$$

S_T is called the *connected tiling semigroup* of T. If one drops the requirement that the elements of $\mathcal{M}$ have connected support, then one gets the *tiling semigroup* Γ_T.

It was shown in [7] that when T is so-called strongly aperiodic, repetitive and has finite local complexity (see the original paper for definitions), the tiling C^*-algebra A_T from [10, 9] is the tight C^*-algebra of S_T.

Clearly, $E(S_T) = \{[t, P, t] : P \in \mathcal{M}, t \in P\}$. It is easy to see that $[t, P, t] \leq [r, Q, r]$ if and only if there is an $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $r = t + x$ and $Q \subset P + x$. Say that two partial tilings are *congruent* if one is a translation of the other. Let L be the set of congruence classes of elements in $\mathcal{M}$. The next lemma lets us identify $E(S_T)^\times / \approx$ with L.

Lemma 6.1 Let $P, Q \in \mathcal{M}$ and $t \in P$, $r \in Q$. Then $[t, P, t] \approx [r, Q, r]$ if and only if P and Q are congruent.

Proof. Suppose P and Q are congruent. Then there is some $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $Q = P + x$. So $t + x \in Q$. Moreover, it is easy to check that $[r, Q, r] = [t + x, Q, r]^*[t + x, Q, r]$ and

$$[t + x, Q, r][r, Q, r][t + x, Q, r]^* = [t + x, Q, t + x] = [t, Q - x, t] = [t, P, t].$$

So $[t, P, t] \approx [r, Q, r]$.

Conversely, suppose $[t, P, t] \approx [r, Q, r]$. Then there is some $R \in \mathcal{M}$ and $a, b \in R$ such that

$$[t, P, t] \leq [a, R, b]^*[a, R, b] = [b, R, b],$$
$$[a, R, b][t, P, t][a, R, b]^* = [r, Q, r]$$

The first line gives us that there is some $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $b = t + x$ and $R \subset P + x$. Rewriting the second line, we get

$$[a - x, R - x, t][t, P, t][t, R - x, a - x] = [a - x, P, a - x] = [r, Q, r],$$

so P and Q are congruent. □

Lemma 6.2 For each $e \in E(S_T)^\times$, G_e is the trivial group.

Proof. Let $P \in \mathcal{M}$ and $t \in P$. Suppose that $R \in \mathcal{M}$ and $a, b \in R$ satisfy

$$[t, P, t] \leq [a, R, b]^*[a, R, b] = [b, R, b],$$
$$[a, R, b][t, P, t][a, R, b]^* = [t, P, t]$$

As in the proof of the previous lemma, we get that there is an $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $b = t + x$ and $R \subset P + x$. Then

$$[a - x, R - x, t][t, P, t][t, R - x, a - x] = [a - x, P, a - x] = [t, P, t].$$

So $a - x = t$, which implies that $[a, R, b] = [t, R - x, t]$ is idempotent. Then $\phi([a, R, b]) = 1$. □

S_T is always strongly 0-E-unitary, but it is not strongly 0-F-inverse in general [13]. It is strongly 0-F-inverse when $n = 1$. In this case, the universal grading of S_T is well understood.

Theorem 6.3 Let T be a one-dimensional tiling. Then $K_0(C_r^*(S_T)) = \mathbb{Z}^L$ and $K_1(C_r^*(S_T)) = 0$.

Proof. By [13, Corollary 4.2.4], the universal group of S_T is free, so it is a-T-menable. It is shown in [13, Proposition 4.2.1] that every element of S_T is beneath a maximal element. To show that S_T^1 is strongly 0-F-inverse it remains to check that ϕ is injective on the set of nonidempotent maximal elements in S_T. This requires one to go through the actual construction of ϕ. It requires some work, but is not hard. We leave it to the reader to verify that this holds. The rest now follows from Lemmas 6.1, 6.2 and Theorem 3.5. □

Note also that for any n-dimensional tiling T, the tiling semigroup Γ_T has the property that any element is beneath a maximal element [13]. If one can show that the universal group of Γ_T satisfies the strong Baum-Connes conjecture with coefficients (for instance if it is a-T-menable) and that ϕ is injective on the set of maximal elements in Γ_T, one would get similar results for the K-theory of $C_r^*(\Gamma_T)$.

References

[1] Fernando Abadie. Enveloping actions and Takai duality for partial actions. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 197:14–67, 2003.

[2] Bernhard Burgstaller. The K-theory of certain C^*-algebras endowed with gauge actions. *J. Funct. An.*, 256:1693–1707, 2009.

[3] A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston. *The algebraic theory of semigroups*, volume I+II. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1961.

[4] Joachim Cuntz, Siegfried Echterhoff, and Xin Li. On the K-theory of crossed products by automorphic semigroup actions. *arXiv:1205.5412v1*, 2012.

[5] R. Exel. Circle actions on C^*-algebras, partial automorphisms and a generalized pimsner-voiculescu exact sequence. *J. Funct. Anal*, 122:361–401, 1994.

[6] R. Exel. Inverse semigroups and combinatorial C^*-algebras. *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc.*, 39:191–313, 2008.

[7] R. Exel, D. Gonçalves, and C. Starling. The tiling C^*-algebra viewed as a tight inverse semigroup algebra. *Semigroup forum*, 84:229–240, 2012.

[8] N. Higson and G. Kasparov. E-theory and KK-theory for groups which act properly and isometrically on Hilbert space. *Invent. Math.*, 144:23–74, 2001.

[9] J. Kellendonk and I. F. Putnam. Tilings, C^*-algebras, and K-theory. *CRM Monograph Series*, 13:177–206, 2000.

[10] Johannes Kellendonk. Non commutative geometry of tilings and gap labelling. *Rev. Math. Phys*, 7:1133–1180, 1995.

[11] Johannes Kellendonk. The local structure of tilings and their integer group of covariants. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 1997.

[12] Johannes Kellendonk. Topological equivalence of tilings. *J. Math. Phys.*, 38:1823–1842, 1997.

[13] Johannes Kellendonk and Mark V. Lawson. Universal groups for point-sets and tilings. *Journal of Algebra*, 276:462–492, 2004.

[14] Mark V. Lawson. E^*-unitary inverse semigroups. In *Semigroups, algorithms, automata and languages*, pages 195–214, River Edge, NJ, 2001. World Sci. Publishing.

[15] Xin Li. Nuclearity of semigroup C^*-algebras and the connection to amenability. *arXiv:1203.0021v2*, 2012.

[16] John Meakin. Groups and semigroups: connections and contrasts. In *Groups St Andrews*, number 340 in London Math. Soc. lecture note series, pages 357–400. Cambridge University Press, 2005.

[17] David Milan. C^*-algebras of inverse semigroups: amenability and weak containment. *J. Operator Theory*, 63:317–332, 2010.

[18] David Milan and Benjamin Steinberg. On inverse semigroup C^*-algebras and crossed products. *arXiv:1104.2304v1*, 2011.

[19] Magnus Dahler Norling. Inverse semigroup C^*-algebras associated with left cancellative semigroups. *arXiv:1202.5977v2*, 2012.

[20] Alan L. T. Paterson. *Groupoids, Inverse Semigroups, and their Operator algebras*, volume 170 of *Progress in Mathematics.* Birkhauser, Boston, MA, 1999.

[21] Alan L. T. Paterson. Graph inverse semigroups, groupoids and their C^*-algebras. *J. Operator Theory*, 48:645–662, 2002.

[22] Iain Raeburn. *Graph Algebras.* Number 103 in Regional Conference Series in Mathematics. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.

Magnus Dahler Norling, Matematisk Institutt, Universitetet i Oslo, Moltke Moes v. 35, 0851 Oslo, Norway

E-mail address: `magnudn@math.uio.no`